



Patient Voice Grant Advisory Network Review Form

This form is in the main to guide you to assess funding applications.

Grant Advisory Network member details

Your Name	
Your Email	

Which application are you assessing?

Application Reference Number	
Project Title	

*In accordance with the **General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)**, the personal and other data you submit with your reviews will be processed by Blood Cancer UK, and held on computerised databases and manual files. Your reviews will be shared with members of the Blood Cancer UK Research Funding Committee as part of the review process. Review comments will be anonymised before being sent to applicants. Read our [full privacy policy](#) for further details.*

Please complete the conflicts of interest declaration and write your comments under each of the sections below. Please see the guidance document for reviewers for further information about completing your review.

Please return your completed conflict of interest declaration and completed reviews to Blood Cancer UK via **email** by **xxx**.



Declaration of conflicts of interest

Please confirm if a conflict of interest exists with any of the applicants or collaborators, or between any of your own activities and the project you have been asked to review. For more information as to what constitutes a conflict of interest, please refer to the guidance notes for grant reviewers.

If you believe you have a conflict of interest with this application, please get in contact with the Research Team (research@bloodcancer.org.uk) before you continue with your review to double check, address any issues and potentially re-allocate the application which you are reviewing.

If you do not have any conflicts of interest, please complete the below form by initialling each box to confirm no conflicts of interest exist.

Please note: electronic signatures are accepted. This form does not have to be printed and signed and/ or sent to use as a hard copy. Please complete on a computer and return to us via **email** as part of your review.

	I have read and understood the Blood Cancer UK Conflict of Interest Policy.
	I confirm that to my knowledge, I do not have any conflicts of interest with this application.
	I agree to treat all sensitive study data, documents, applicant information and discussions confidentially in line with Blood Cancer UK's Conflicts of Interest Policy.

Name: _____

Signed: _____

Date: _____



Reviewer Questions

Most comments made within this section will be fed back to the applicant intact and anonymised such that they can make a rebuttal; please do not include any reference to your identity, or any particularly sensitive comments which you would feel uncomfortable sharing.

Please use the Patient Voice Grant Advisory Network Reviewer Guidance document to help you in your review and remember:

- 1) Your comments will be shared with the applicant – so please ensure the feedback is constructive and not offensive.
- 2) Comments should be related to your perspective of someone directly affected by blood cancer. Our scientific reviewers will look at the science, experimental design and ethical approval processes (for example).
- 3) Please try not to paraphrase or play back the application itself and focus on challenges/ thoughts/ suggestions for improvement.

1. Clarity of the plain English summary

Do you understand what the project is about? Has the researcher used technical language that you don't understand? How can it be improved?

Please note: not understanding something isn't 'wrong', instead it is likely a sign that the plain English section could be simplified and improved.

Max 100 words

Summary score: Was the plain English summary easy to read and understand?

Not at all	It was OK	Really well
There were too many technical words used and / or I don't know what this project is about.	I could follow and understood most of it, however it could be simplified further.	I understand what this research project is about
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please put an 'x' in the appropriate box above

2. Engagement and involvement of people affected by blood cancer

(a) Has the researcher thought about ways to involve and engage people affected by blood cancer in this proposal or the research itself? If they have NOT, is the reason given reasonable and does it make sense?

Max 100 words

B

(b) How could this proposal be made more patient-centric and better involve and engage people affected by blood cancer?
Max 100 words

Summary score: How much could you read the voice of those affected by blood cancer, or see what role they have in this project (involvement and engagement)?		
Poor	Average	Excellent
No or limited attempts made to engage or involve people affected by blood cancer in the proposal or work	Attempts made, but limited and mainly 'engagement', with obvious areas for improvement	Engagement or ideally 'involvement' in the proposal or project work already occurred/ planned

Please put an 'x' next to the appropriate box above

3. Relevance of the work
How relevant is this research to you as a person affected by blood cancer? Should Blood Cancer UK invest money in it? If a laboratory-based project, is the project in an area of research which you think is worthy of investigation, even if far from making a clinical benefit?
Max 150 words

Summary score: How relevant is this research to blood cancer patients?		
Low	Medium	High
relevance to someone affected by blood cancer	relevance to someone affected by blood cancer	relevance to someone affected by blood cancer

Please put an 'x' next to the appropriate box above

Important: if the project is in a blood cancer that you do not have/had/have a connection with, DO NOT just score it lower. Please put yourself in the shoes of someone with this type of blood cancer, as you are representing the blood cancer community as a whole in this review.

B

4. Overall recommendations to the applicant and any additional comments

What is your overall view of the application?

Max 100 words

--

Private Comments (optional entry)

5. Comments for the 'Research Funding Committee'

Reviewer comments for the Research Committee only (not the applicant)

Max 100 words

--

The deadline for receipt of completed reviews is **XXX**